eg: BUILDING a STRONG LL(1) GRAMMAR

•
$$\Rightarrow G_{AE}$$
: $S' \rightarrow S$ \$
$$S \rightarrow A$$

$$A \rightarrow T \mid A + T$$

$$T \rightarrow b \mid (A)$$

• A is left-recursive: re-write

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \to & TA' \\ A' \to & +TA' \mid \epsilon \end{array}$$

• FIRST sets:

$$\mathsf{FIRST}_1(S) = \{b, (\} \Rightarrow \mathsf{FIRST}_1(A) = \{b,$$

$$\mathsf{FIRST}_1(A') = \{+, \epsilon\} \Rightarrow \mathsf{FIRST}_1(T) = \{b, (\}$$

FOLLOW sets:

FOLLOW₁(S) =
$$\{\$\} \Rightarrow \text{FOLLOW}_1(A) = \{\$, \}$$

FOLLOW₁(A') = $\{\$, \}$
FOLLOW₁(T) = $\{\$, \}, +\} = \text{FIRST}_1(A') \text{FOLLOW}_1(A')$

LA sets:

$$LA_1(S \to A) = \{b, (\}$$

$$LA_1(A \to TA') = \{b, (\}$$

$$LA_1(T \to b) = \{b\}$$

$$\mathsf{LA}_1(T \to (A)) = \{(\}$$
 $\mathsf{LA}_1(A' \to \epsilon) = \{\$, \}$
 $\mathsf{LA}_1(A' \to +TA') = \{+\}$

A strong LL(k) parser

exercise: try input (b+b) on LL(1) version of G_{AE}

 A no-lookahead algorithm would backtrack 3 times for the same input.

LL(k) versus strong LL(k) grammars:

A grammar is LL(k) but not strong LL(k) if the LA sets of rules are not necessarily disjoint but the LA sets of any sentential form is unique.

$$S \rightarrow Aabd \mid cAbcd$$
$$A \rightarrow a \mid b \mid \epsilon$$

$$LA_2(A \rightarrow a) = \{aa, ab\}$$

 $LA_2(A \rightarrow \epsilon) = \{ab, bc\} \Rightarrow \text{not strong LL(2)}$

• $\mathsf{LA}_k(uAv, A \to w) = \mathsf{FIRST}_k(wv)$

$$LA_2(Aabd, A \to a) = \{aa\}$$

$$LA_2(Aabd, A \to b) = \{ba\}$$

$$LA_2(Aabd, A \to \epsilon) = \{ab\}$$

Similarly LA₂ sets of cAbcd also form a partition. \Rightarrow LL(2)

- In general though, number of sentential forms in a grammar is quite large (sometimes can only be described by a pattern).
- LA sets must be calculated on-the-fly ⇒ costly

• TABLE-DRIVEN LL. rows: variables. columns: LA_k sets

if the table has multiple entries, it is not LL(k)

 Constructing the LL(k) table (slightly different than the algorithm in p.190; this uses LA sets directly)

```
input: G=(Alphabet,V,P,S)
    LAk sets for all rules in P
output: parsing table M

1. For all A->w in P

2. M[A,u] contains A->w; for each terminal string u in LAk(A->w)

3. M[A,$] contains A->w if $ is in LAk(A->w)
```

4. Make all empty entries of M error states

parsing: start with S', find the right rule from LA sets. if next symbol is \$, see if q = p after last rule.